## CONFORMATIONAL STUDIES OF PEPTIDE SYSTEMS. NMR AND IR SPECTRA OF N-ACETYL-ALANYL-PHENYLALANINE AND N-ACETYL-PHENYLALANYL-ALANINE METHYL ESTERS. V.F.Bystrov, S.L.Portnova, T.A.Balashova, V.I.Tsetlin, V.T.Ivanov, P.V.Kostetzky and Yu.A.Ovchinnikov. Institute for Chemistry of Natural Products, USSR Academy of Sciences, Moscow.

(Received in UK 10 November 1969; accepted for publication 29 November 1969)

In a previous study, the conformational states of alanyl-alanine dipeptides in solution have been elucidated. The study was largely based on the dependence of the NH-CH vicinal proton coupling constant upon the dihedral angle **0** between the HNC and NCH planes:

 ${}^{3}J_{\text{NHCH}} = A \cos^{2}\theta - B \cos\theta + C \sin^{2}\theta$ .

Values for this dependence calculated on a IBM-360/60 computer for values the coefficients varying within the following limits (I): 8.9  $\leq A \leq$  9.8 Hz, 0  $\leq B \leq (A - 8.9)$  and C = 9.8 - A, are graphically depicted by the hatched area shown on Fig.I.

The present paper reports the results of conformational studies based on IR and NMR spectroscopy of the methyl esters of the DD- and DL-isomers of N-acetyl-alanyl-phenylalanine (I and II respectively) and N-acetyl-phenylalanyl-alanine (III and IV):

 $CH_3CON_{(6)}H-C_{(5)}R^{H}-CON_{(3)}H-C_{(2)}R^{H}-COOCH_3$ .

In this case, besides the vicinal coupling constants, the presence of the highly magnetically anisotropic benzene ming has made possible the use for the conformational analysis also the other principal NMR parameter - the chemical shift.

The IR spectra of the dipeptides I - IV yielded data analogous to the results obtained with the alanyl-alanine dipeptides (I,2). The fraction <u>n</u> of the intramolecular hydrogen-bonded folded form of the dipeptides III and IV (in

5225



dilute CCl<sub>4</sub> solutions) in which the phenylalanine residue forms part of the folded portion, is lower ( 0.62 and 0.67 respectively) then for dipeptides I and II ( 0.76 and 0.81 ). Apparently the bulky R<sup>n</sup> group of the former compounds presents a certain barrier to the formation of the seven-membered hydrogen bonded ring.

Assignments of the NMR peaks were carried out by comparison with the data on the alanyl-alanine dipeptides (1) and the use of double resonance. Table 1 shows the values of the vicinal coupling constants for the  $\sum_{X} H_{X} - C_{H_{X}} H_{B}$  of Phe and NH-CH fragments. The coupling constants of the latter have been corrected for the effect of substituent electronegativity (1).

The IR and NMR data show that the conformation of the folded part of molecules I - IV is similar to that of the alanyl-alanine dipeptides (1). In this conformation the dihedral angle  $\theta$  of the N<sub>(6)</sub>H-C<sub>(5)</sub>H fragment is

Table	1
-------	---

Dipe]	9- Solver	lt	Coupling constants (cps)			rotamer populations about C-C bond			
UTUE		AX	BX	AB	<sup>N</sup> (3) <sup>H-C</sup> (2) <sup>H</sup>	N <sub>(6)</sub> H-C <sub>(5)</sub> H	<u>a</u>	<u>b</u>	0
I	CDC13	7.09	5.50	-13.97	8.3	8.1	0.32	0.41	0.17
	DMSO-d6	9.47	4.56	-13.92	8.1	8.3	0•18	0.64	0.18
II	CDC13	7.50	5.37	-13.97	8.3	7•9	0.30	0.45	0.25
	DMSO-d6	10.17	5.17	-13.77	8.7	8•4	0.07	0.69	0.24
III	CDC13	decer	tively spectr	simple	• 8•3	8.4	-	-	-
	DMSO-d <sub>6</sub>	9.80	4.40	-13.80	7•8	9.2	0.17	0.66	0•17
IV	CDC13	8.49	5.93	-13.56	8.3	8.3	0.15	0.54	0•31
	DMSO-d <sub>6</sub>	9•34	5.41	-13.60	7•9	9.2	0.12	0.62	0.26

within the limits 15-25° corresponding to angles  $\Phi_{N-C} \neq in$  the region of 240° and  $\Psi_{C} \neq_{C} i$  in the region of 120° (3). Theoretical considerations (4) show that this region should exhibit energy minima on the conformational maps if account be taken of intramolecular hydrogen bonding.

Based on the data for phenylalanine (5) the assumption was made that the preferred rotamer is <u>b</u> with <u>trans</u> orientation of the  $C_6H_5$  and C=O groups (Fig.2) Calculation of the populations of the <u>a</u>, <u>b</u> and <u>c</u> rotamers (see Table 1) was based on the values  $J_{trans}=13.5$  cps and  $J_{gauche}=2.6$  cps (6).



 $\underline{a} (\mathbf{y}_{1}=60^{\circ}) \qquad \underline{b} (\mathbf{y}_{1}=300^{\circ}) \qquad \underline{c} (\mathbf{y}_{1}=180^{\circ})$ 

For the  $N_{(3)}H-C_{(2)}H$  fragment the values of the vicinal coupling constants indicate the possibility of two alternative preferable states with either <u>cis</u> or <u>trans</u> N-H and C-H bonds ( $\Phi$  240° and 60° respectively). The choice between the two was made on the basis of the effect of the magnetic anisotropy of the benzene ring (7) on the screening of the alanine methyl group ( as compared with Table 3. Calculated and experimental differential chemical shifts (p.p.m.) of the

alanyl CH3 group.

Dipep-	Calcul	experiment	
trae	cis-NH-CH		
I	-0.07	+0.0I	-0.09
II	+0.09	-0.07	-0.17
III	-0,04	+0.13	-0.04
IV	+0 <b>.06</b>	-0.07	-0.18

the corresponding alanylalanine stereoisomers). The calculation was carried out on the basis of the conformation determined for the folded form and rotamer populations of the side chain, assuming that the plane of the benzene ring is perpendicular to the plane, formed

by the  $\tilde{C} - \tilde{C} - \tilde{C} - \tilde{C}$  atoms. From a comparison of the experimental and calculated chemical shifts (Table 2) it is evident that for DD-dipeptides (I and III) the N<sub>(3)</sub>H-C<sub>(2)</sub>H fragment is preferably <u>cis</u> ( $\mathcal{P} \sim 240^{\circ}$ ) whenever for the DLisomers (II and IV) it is preferably <u>trans</u> ( $\mathcal{P} \sim 60^{\circ}$ ). From molecular models it follows that the preference for these rotational conformers is apparently determined by the potential energy minimum of nonbonded interactions. In the alternative conformations one may expect steric repulsion between the benzyl groups and the alanyl methyl.

## References

- I. V.F.Bystrov, S.L.Portnova, V.I.Tsetlin, V.T.Ivanov and Yu.A.Ovchinnikov, <u>Tetrahedron</u>, <u>25</u>, 493 (1969).
- S.L.Portnova, V.F.Bystrov, V.I.Tsetlin, V.T.Ivanov and Yu.A.Ovchinnikov, <u>Zh.Obs.Khim</u>.(Russian), <u>38</u>, 428 (1968).
- J.T.Edsall, P.J.Flory, J.C.Kendrew, A.M.Liquori, G.Nemethy, C.Ramakrishnan and H.A.Sheraga, <u>Biopolymers,4</u>, 421 (1966), <u>J.Mol.Biol</u>. <u>15</u>,399 (1966).
- 4. E.M.Popov, G.M.Lipkind, S.F.Arkhipova and V.T.Dashevsky, <u>Mol.biol</u>. (Russian) 2,622 (1968).
- 5. J.R.Cavenaugh, <u>J.Am.Chem.Soc.,89</u>, 1558 (1967).
- 6. K.G.R.Pachler, Spectrochim. Acta, 19,2085 (1963), 20, 581 (1964).
- 7. A.A.Bothner-By, J.A.Pople, Ann.Rev.Phys.Chem., 16, 43-66 (1956).